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| VA Logo | *Innovation 873 Telepathology SME*  *Meeting Minutes* |

***Date****:* 24 April 2015

***LYNC****:* 855-767-1051

***Meeting Lead:*** John Kane

***Time***: 1:00 PM – 2:00 PM EST

***Conference ID:*** 92159751

***Facilitator:*** John Kane

***Scribe****:* John Kane

**Invitees:**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **First Name** | | **Last Name** | **Title** |
| x | John | **Kane** | **Project Manager** |
|  | Chris | **Naquin** | **Project Coordinator** |
| x | Stuart | **Frank** | **Senior Developer** |
| x | Angela | **Barnes** | **Project Manager/ COR** |
| x | Larry | **Carlson** | **Innovator** |
| x | Stephen | **Chensue** | **Pathologist, SME** |
|  | Peter | **Bayer** | **Account Executive** |
|  | Nora | **Ratcliffe** | **Pathologist, SME** |
| x | Michael | **Icardi** | **Pathologist, SME** |
| x | Mark | **Gusack** | **Pathologist, SME** |
|  | Hon | **Pak** | **MD, FirstView Medical Information Officer** |
| x | Dee | **Cispo** | **Senior Engineer** |
| x | Nihant | **Bondugula** | **Security Engineer** |
| x | Kalpana | **Reddy** | **Test Engineer** |
| x | Wesley | **Shyu** | **Technical Writer/Developer** |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
|  | **Presenter: Frank, Stuart** |
| **Topic: Meeting Overview** | **Presenter: Frank, Stuart** |
| **Discussion:**  Stuart conducted the review of the Sprint 4 and discussed progress with integrating viewers as well as workload processes. John added information about the stand-up of the Git-Hub for documents and code as well as testing progress.  Stuart provided a Bi-Weekly Progress Slide presentation that discussed Aperio and HL7 integration efforts. The Aperio product has been installed in the Sandbox on a VM and progress with the HL7 integration has begun. John stated that we continue to work with the ISO for the project ATO but have not yet been able to find the previous ATO through any leads. The project security engineer, working with the ISO, has started submission for approval of Telepathology as a “minor project”.  Stuart demonstrated the ongoing efforts with the Aperio product. He demonstrated communication between Northern Indiana and Ann Arbor. The ability to view images has been added since the last demonstration. Any slowness in the display to the members is likely caused by the VTC connection and not the actual connection to the Amazon Cloud. Stuart stated that, in Late May, he hopes to invite the Aperio staff to join the meeting to address certain specifics.  Dr. Gusack stated that the files are complex now but we should plan on even more complex files in the future. Stuart acknowledged and stated this issue is addressed by the design of the Aperio viewer. Dee Csipo related a discussion he had recently had with the Aperio team regarding flexibility gained by using a standardized data set to enable use of other viewers. He added that Aperio is known for its strength in the Clinical workflow area. Organization of servers may require additional discussion.  Stuart presented a workflow diagram depicting sequencing. He listed his assumptions and asked members for their thoughts. Dr. Chensue asked if the consulting pathologist would need to take separate steps to see what effort is pending. Stuart confirmed. Dr. Chensue asked who confirms the supplemental report. Stuart stated there are options on how to handle that. Members discussed the desired process and finally agreed that the main report would be “hidden” until the supplemental report is released. Dr. Gusack stated that there are many differences of opinions between pathologists when making their evaluations prior to the release of any report. Dr. Chensue agreed but stated there is a practical problem because the pathologist cannot see the report until it is released. Dr. Chensue stated a pathologist can finalize a preliminary report for consultation as pending feedback or could leave as un-verified and obtain the consultant’s opinion. Stuart discussed creating another supplemental report to support the 10 percent quality review. Dr. Chensue stated he is putting a package together for the development team to better describe pathology workflow. Stuart discussed the request as very doable but possibly outside the scope of the project.  Dr. Chensue and Stuart discussed the fact that HL7 messages were included in the Laboratory Patch 144 which should alreadby be installed at every medical center. HL 7 Messaging for AP needs to be configured in the VistA system to be aviailble for use. Hopefully, more will install by late summer  Dr. Chensue asked about the automated entry of demographic information to the consulting report. Stuart stated this is very doable but felt it was a policy question more than a technical question. He questioned whether this should be done or would it run against medical policy. Dr. Chensue stated this will become an important issue in the future and is perhaps a VISN question.  Question 3 of the presentation questioned if remote accessioning was automated “behind the scenes” or was it done by the user logging into the remote site’s VistA system. Dr. Chensue stated permission was required by the remote site to get into the VistA database.  Regarding Stuart’s question 4, “Do all slide labels have bar codes?” the answer was no but the trend is to push for increased use of bar codes. Dee used the workflow diagram to explain the process of bar code scanning within HL7. The amount of data for the HL7 information is very small and it is stored securely in the Aperio server with the database. Dr. Chensue stated he wanted to find out more about handling the file as we may want a separate file vice combining with all patient information. Stuart quickly explained how that could be done. Stuart added that as we continue to integrate with Aperio, we will be able to explain more fully. | |
| **Topic: Project Status/Simulation** | **Presenter: Frank, Stuart** |
| **Status:**   * Successful meetings are ongoing with Aperio. We are making good progress with them and will invite them to join the late May meeting. See notes above also. * Security issues are being addressed by the assigned ISO. We are still seeking previous ATO information from the efforts that completed with patch 138.   **Simulation:**   * Stuart provided live shots for integration with the Aperio Viewer. This instigated comments and discussion | |

**Status of Actions from previous meetings.**

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Action Item ID No.** | **Assigned Date** | **Description** | **Assigned To** | **Due Date / Revisit Date** | **Comments** |
| **1** | **3/27/15** | **Find a Workload SME to discuss Telepathology processes with Stuart.** | **Dr. Ratcliffe** | **N/A** | **Complete** |
| **2** | **3/27/15** | **Contact VA headquarters to help determine which hospitals use Telepathology capability currently (they could be ideal candidate as pilot sites).** | **Dr. Ratcliffe** | **N/A** | **N/A** |
| **3** | **3/27/15** | **Provide input to Angela to answer questions from March 26 email regarding list of COR questions.** | **Dr. Ratcliffe** | **N/A** | **Complete** |
| **4** | **3/27/15** | **Contact Leica/Aperio POC in order to enable discussion with VitelNet for Telepathology use of vendor products.** | **Angela/ Larry** | **N/A** | **Complete** |

**Additional Notes/Comments:**

* The next meeting will take place on May 29th. It will be a Sprint meeting as well as a SME discussion meeting.